

**STARTUP SUSTAINABILITY BASED ON GOVERNMENT, TECHNOLOGY, AND MARKET: EMPIRICAL STUDY MEDIATION OF PERFORMANCE & SATISFACTION**

Shivani Shivhare <sup>(a)1</sup> Nupur <sup>(b)</sup> V Shunmugasundaram <sup>(c)</sup>

<sup>(a)</sup> Junior Research Fellow, Faculty of Commerce, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India; E-mail: [shivanihare38@bhu.ac.in](mailto:shivanihare38@bhu.ac.in)

<sup>(b)</sup> Senior Research Fellow, Faculty of Commerce, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India; E-mail: [kuharnupur9404@bhu.ac.in](mailto:kuharnupur9404@bhu.ac.in)

<sup>(c)</sup> Professor, Faculty of Commerce, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India; E-mail: [sundaram@bhu.ac.in](mailto:sundaram@bhu.ac.in)

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article History:

Received: 1<sup>st</sup> January 2023

Revised: 19<sup>th</sup> February 2023

Accepted: 20<sup>th</sup> February 2023

Published: 28<sup>th</sup> February 2023

## Keywords:

Startups, Sustainability, Satisfaction, Performance, Market Orientation, Government Support, Technology, Incubators

## JEL Classification Codes:

E20

## ABSTRACT

*Start-ups are one of the driving forces of growth and development for developed economies, although emerging economies are now striving to encourage start-ups for the advancement of the country with the support of the startup ecosystem. However, 90 percent of the start-ups failed within a year of their establishment. This study focuses on the factor affecting the sustainability of start-ups, and it is based on primary data collected from 384 start-ups in India. This empirical study analyzed, whether factors such as government support, market orientation, and technology orientation, affected the sustainability of the start-ups by using entrepreneurial satisfaction and performance of the start-ups as the mediators. Correspondingly, the study analyzes the mediation effect of entrepreneurial satisfaction between performance and startup sustainability. The results conveyed that government support, and technology affected startup business sustainability by fostering startup enterprise performance and entrepreneurial satisfaction, while market orientation does not mediate business sustainability using startup enterprise performance as the mediator, and technology orientation affected startup business sustainability with entrepreneurial satisfaction as the mediator.*

© 2023 by the authors. Licensee CRIBFB, USA. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship has grown as a popular domain of research by both corporates as well as academicians, there are multiple articles available to describe entrepreneurs' behaviours (Blackburn & Kovalainen, 2009; Wadhwa et al., 2011). But the present scenario focused on resource-based theories, entrepreneurial satisfaction innovation, and sustainable development of entrepreneurship unlike the past studies were focused on demand and supply, production, entrepreneurs' characteristics, etc. (Weidinger et al., 2014). "Without sustainable organizations, there is no sustainable development, thus, no future.". In the modern world, the resource-based theory is combined with the sustainability theory to move entrepreneurship toward sustainable development. According to the sustainability theory proposed by Professor Tim Waring, entrepreneurs must act to overlook the environment and society's welfare besides just economic profit (Waring et al., 2015).

A startup is the basic step of any entrepreneurship initiatives. It is an early-stage avenue for employment and innovation (Salamzadeh & Kawamorita Kesm, 2017). The startup has paramount importance in improving environments and society, which involves critical business activity for existence and growth (Silva et al., 2021). Lean startups and business models in startups are burning topics in entrepreneurship literature (Ghezzi, 2019; Kountur et al., 2020; Lizarelli et al., 2022) (Slávik et al., 2021).

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding Author: ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1576-034X

© 2023 by the authors. Hosting by CRIBFB. Peer review under responsibility of CRIBFB, USA.  
<https://doi.org/10.46281/ijfb.v13i1.1976>

To cite this article: Shivhare, S., ., N., & Shunmugasundaram, V. (2023). STARTUP SUSTAINABILITY BASED ON GOVERNMENT, TECHNOLOGY, AND MARKET: EMPIRICAL STUDY MEDIATION OF PERFORMANCE & SATISFACTION. *Indian Journal of Finance and Banking*, 13(1), 63-77. <https://doi.org/10.46281/ijfb.v13i1.1976>

Startups' ecosystem growth is visible in the past few years in India (Singh et al., 2020). India's startup ecosystem steered growth and development and has become the third largest startup ecosystem after the US and China (Basu & Virick, 2015). India is still a growing economy with an abundance of large populations, and skilled and cheap labor (Sivathanu & Pillai, 2020). However, a large population has more demands but, due to limited resources availability resource available, alternative and substitute solutions can ease the market entry of startups in the Indian market (Scillitoe & Birasnav, 2022). Indian startup ventures fail to ensure sustainability criteria due to various reasons. The survey conducted by IBM and oxford economics displayed that around 90 percent of startups failed within the first five years of their establishment in India (Negi, 2021). And, 77 percent of venture capitalists stated that, the lack of technology is the major cause of this failure (Demming et al., 2017). But recently technological startup entrepreneurship got momentum in India with around a 10 percent annual growth rate (Sivathanu & Pillai, 2020). Technology advancement develops the technological capabilities of the firm to get competitive advantages and to improve financial performance (Rezaee, 2016). Other than technology market orientation plays a crucial role in the performance and overall sustainable development of the firm (Ismail, 2022). Customer attracts to firms that have sustainability market strategies to incorporate economic, social, and environmental sustainability (Sivathanu & Pillai, 2020). Sustainable development in industries has become a comprehensive strategy to guide the world's social and economic transformation while pursuing business activities (Shi et al., 2019). Startups are found to be uncertain regarding the acceptability of products or services in the market and disclosing their performance, but the performance and sustainability are inclined by the economic and environmental conditions of the operation area (Costa et al., 2022).

Further, this study adds dimension to the analysis, explicitly sustainable entrepreneurship along with the emphasis on the need for business satisfaction & sustainability of startups (Murphy & Callaway, 2004), it empirically analyses the effect of attributes such as government support, market orientation, and technology orientation on business sustainability through the performance of startups and entrepreneurs' satisfaction. The accelerator program initiated by the government helps startups to facilitate performance in startups (Polo García-Ochoa et al., 2020). There is a need to study internal and external factors that can impact the relationship between two variables (Caseiro & Coelho, 2018), because internal factor has a profound impact on understanding the performance indicator in startups (Behl, 2022; Furtado et al., 2017). Thereby, this study analyses the key factors to steer startups' business sustainability and survival. In this aspect, a theoretical model was prepared based on (Lee & Kim, 2019) and empirical analyses were made on 384 samples.

The research Objectives of the study are as follows:

- To develop a theoretical framework for linking GS, TOR, MOR, SEP, ES, and SBS in the context of Indian startups.
- To evaluate the mediation effect of SEP and EG between GS and SBS on the startups.
- To assess the mediation effect of SEP and EG between TOR and SBS on the startups.
- To measure the mediation effect of SEP and EG between MOR and SBS on the startups.
- To determine the intervention effect of EG between SEP and SBS on the startups.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Starting a new firm has the objective to grow into a valuable firm to benefit society, through the creation of employment opportunities and innovations (Harlin & Berglund, 2021). India's startup has experienced significant growth over the past years (Scillitoe & Birasnav, 2022). Startups have been seen as drivers of change and innovation (Palmié et al., 2021) A startup is defined as a temporary organization in search of working under a scalable, repeatable, and profitable business model (García-Gutiérrez & Martínez-Borreguero, 2016). According to DPIIT startup is defined as any firm not formed by reconstruction and mergers, as established less than 10 years ago, and has a turnover under 100 rupees crore shall be considered a startup (startupindia.gov.in). Startups exhibit the potential to enable the transition of local areas, and economies with progress, competitiveness, and industrial transformations through new technologies and innovations (Passaro et al., 2020). Factors that are related to startup success such as customer value proposition, government schemes, marketing, technology, and operations affect the performance of the new venture (Eisenmann, 2020). The introduction of new products and technological innovation are of paramount importance in ensuring the success and growth of a business startup (Hazudin et al., 2015). Some factors such as human resources, technological support, management system, relationship with government, finance, and marketing, were found to affect entrepreneurial satisfaction in e-commerce startups (Gide & Wu, 2007).

The need for sustainability along with profit must include social, ecological, and economic aspects has catalyzed the trend toward a paradigm shift in the business world (Sarango-Lalangui et al., 2018a). Sustainability encompasses both environmentally oriented and socially oriented practices, and rather than distinguishing between different types of sustainability goals, it is more general to pursue sustainability goals through corporate means. Sustainability entrepreneurship embodies the concept of shared value in business.

Sustainability in financial performance is essential (Filser et al., 2019). A number of studies reported performance as the comparative indication based on economic and non-economic criteria, economic measures include sales, profitability, financial return, and turnover of the business (Inmyxai & Takahashi, 2011; Seo et al., 2018; Soeryo Prayogo et al., 2019). (Brush, 1992) Suggested future businesses should not measure the performance in growth terms, but also different ways such as the growth of skills, knowledge, and business satisfaction of the entrepreneurs. Moreover, (Bamgbade et al., 2022) have found the mediating role of organizational capacity and performance on business sustainability. This is essentially the creation of economic value, and being involved in it, also creates value for society (Reynolds et al., 2018). This study believes to address the research gap related to the experience of entrepreneurs of startups about sustainability and performance of the business together, (Lauto et al., 2020) specified the need of examining factors that can impact the entrepreneurial experience and decisions.

### **Attributes of Startup (GS, MOR, TOR), and Startup Enterprise Performance (SEP)**

Start-up enterprise performance reflected in growth rate, sales growth, business stability, customer satisfaction, and entrepreneurial satisfaction (Rompho, 2018). Many studies explain that government support positively impacts entrepreneurship (Fonseca et al., 2018). Firms that received support from the government relatively survived for a longer period than the firms that did not get. Government support resulted in the greater performance of start-ups (Lee & Kim, 2019). Market orientation is the process that emphasizes not only customer satisfaction but also other functional areas of the organization (Eric et al., 2017.). "A market orientation appears to provide a unifying focus for the efforts and projects of individuals and departments within the organization" (Kohli & Jaworski, 1990.) Market orientation includes the external environment to measure the competitive business environment of the firm, it is a culture placed focused on customer needs or satisfaction along with watching the capabilities of the competitor (Pelham, 1999). Several studies show a positive direct relation between MOR and performance. MOR is associated with superior performance in the form of profitability, sales growth, and new product development (Mastorakis et al., 2020.). Some studies emphasized that a business can only grow if it can maintain market orientation in an external business environment with severe dynamics where the customer's demands are diversified and subdivided (Lee & Kim, 2019).

Technology orientations are often understood as collecting resources and formulating strategies to avail opportunities with the technical system, it shows an organization's devotion to research and development, accepting and applying the latest technologies to meet customers' needs (Sala et al., 2022). The technology orientation refers to a set of organizational decisions regarding the aggressive use of technology position, Process innovation and automation, and new product development. Technology innovation is deemed an important enabler of perceived performance and sustainable development through business activities (Soeryo Prayogo et al., 2019)(D'Amato et al., 2020).

**Hypothesis 1.** (H1) Government support for start-ups will positively affect startup enterprise performance.

**Hypothesis 2.** (H2) The market orientation of start-ups will positively affect startup enterprise performance.

**Hypothesis 3.** (H3) The technology orientation of start-ups will positively affect the startup enterprise performance

### **Attributes of Startup (GS, MOR, TOR), and Entrepreneurial Satisfaction (ES)**

MOR has a mediating role in the performance and entrepreneurial orientation of startups (Ruzgar et al., 2014). Also, the higher government supports enhance higher satisfaction among entrepreneurs. Government certification is important for startups indicating that a government-funded program of research and development is directly associated with the quality performance of the firm (L. Li et al., 2019). In general, "satisfaction" in business management is a variable used for customer satisfaction and is measured as a non-financial performance of consumer products and corporate brands. However, satisfaction with business can be considered in terms of companies rather than consumers, where financial and non-financial performance can be considered. Entrepreneurial satisfaction is a scale that measures the overall satisfaction that a business owner obtains while accomplishing its requirements and expectations from the business (Seco Matos & Amaral, 2017). Entrepreneurs should be asked how satisfied they are with their performance and business doing (Kerr, 2017). Since, it is difficult to estimate the quantitative indicators necessary in measuring the company's performance in the initial stages of a start-up, objective performances such as the sales and earnings rate, or subjective performances such as the expected achievement and satisfaction are measured. Moreover, (Chakraborty et al., 2019) stated that non-financial satisfaction is related to markets, technologies, and human resources after business start-up as entrepreneurial satisfaction. The concept of entrepreneurial satisfaction is a subjective feeling about the business field in which entrepreneurs started their businesses, and satisfaction is the state of being that is contentment without deficiency (Cooper & Artz, 1995). Thus, entrepreneurial satisfaction can be measured by non-financial factors such as the pleasantness of business operation, enthusiasm about business, sense of achievement in business, and attainment of sustainable development.

**Hypothesis 4.** (H4) The government support for start-ups will positively affect entrepreneurial satisfaction.

**Hypothesis 5.** (H5) The market orientation will positively affect the entrepreneurial satisfaction of startuppreneurs.

**Hypothesis 6.** (H6) The technology orientation will positively affect the entrepreneurial satisfaction of startuppreneurs.

### **Mediation and Significance in Business Research**

The mediation effect measures the causal relationship between two variables in the presence of the third variable called as mediating variable (Demming et al., 2017; MacKinnon, 2001; MacKinnon et al., 2007). A mediating variable can totally or partially transmit the impact of the antecedent on the result (Aguinis et al., 2017). According to (Namazi & Namazi, 2016) in the mediation process “the independent variable first influences the mediator variable, and then the mediator influences the dependent variable”. Several researcher has used mediation analysis in the field of business and management and mediating variable were R&D, innovations, performance, customer engagement, business models, corporate culture, etc. (Chen, 2022; Ferreras-Méndez et al., 2021; Garg et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2022). Mediation is a statistical technique to examine whether the impact of ( $X \rightarrow Y$ ) where causal variable ‘X’ and outcome variable ‘Y’ is at least partly explained through a series of impacts of the ‘X’ on an intermediating variable Z and then on the intermediating variable on the ‘Y’ (i.e.,  $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y$ ) (Fiedler et al., 2011).

### **Mediation Process**

#### ***Start-up Enterprise Performance (SEP) and Entrepreneurial satisfaction (ES) as Mediators***

Start-up enterprise performance can be used as synonymous with business performance, operational performance, business growth, etc. The study (Haryono & Paminto, 2015,) explains the mediating effect of performance on the relationship between corporate value and financial risk, and performance revealed a positive relationship between corporate value and financial risk. Also, it was identified (Wahba & Elsayed, 2015) that the financial performance of the firm positively mediated the relationship between ownership structure dimensions and corporate social responsibility. Most of the research are concerned with the mediation effect of a firm’s performance in the sector of finance (Al-Slehat, 2020). The authors (Namazi & Namazi, 2016) highlighted a framework to use performance as the mediator between CSR and stakeholder perception. Moreover, some studies have emphasized the role of financial performance as a mediator between the size of the firms and corporate value or corporate governance, and on capital structure and corporate value as well as risk on the value of the firm (Al-Slehat, 2020; Author et al., 2014; Haryono & Paminto, 2015).

The study carried by (Lee & Kim, 2019; Zhao et al., 2022) used the satisfaction of entrepreneurs and performance as a mediating variable in the analysis of environment and sustainability through entrepreneurial activities. (Iskamto & Ghazali, 2021) has examined mediation analysis through the satisfaction and performance of MSEs. Hmieleski and Corbett (2008) presented interaction effect on self-efficacy and entrepreneur’s satisfaction of startups. Nonetheless, the empirical findings of (Lauto et al., 2020) also suggested the relationship between economic performance and the satisfaction of entrepreneurs.

#### ***Startup Enterprise Performance (SEP), Entrepreneurial Satisfaction (ES), and Startup Business Sustainability (SBS)***

Among the various business studies, most studies measure effectiveness and efficiency of startup by a focus on the financial performance of business operations (Seo et al., 2018), but now there is more stress on the importance of non-financial performance or intangible assets based on the current environment uncertainty (Hoque, 2005). For start-ups and SMEs, business sustainability practice is a critical factor that must be considered, along with performance (Sarango-Lalangui et al., 2018). The theory of sustainability states that the sustainable development of the organization mainly depends on the goals and objectives of the organization and sustainable organizations can operate consistently and stably despite various difficulties in the market (Shi et al., 2019). Sustainability needs should emphasize sustained natural resources, sustained technology, sustained employment, and sustained performance of the firm (Sha, 2022). Good doing of the enterprise and entrepreneur’s caliber in the process of business start-up may serve as a key variable in the sustainability of entrepreneurial intention and behaviors (Harlin & Berglund, 2021; Tur-Porcar et al., 2018). The intention to sustain a business can be related to positive attitudes among entrepreneurs, which makes them more satisfied with their business.

As mentioned above, satisfaction immerses the best emotions, the ultimate pleasant experiences, and a happy mental state of the entrepreneurs that are encouraged by enterprise well performance thus, they both are critical success factors of sustainable development of entrepreneurship and society (Eriksson Muyu Li Supervisor et al., 2012). Moreover, (Lee & Kim, 2019) argued that entrepreneurial satisfaction can be related to non-financial factors such as the congenial environment of business operation, enthusiasm about business, sense of achievement in business, and attainment of business stability (Gupta & Muita, 2012). Accordingly, this study provides the following hypothesis that is based on startup performance and satisfaction with the motivation of bringing sustainability to new ventures.

**Hypothesis 8.** (H8) The startup enterprise performance of start-ups will have a positive effect on startup business sustainability.

**Hypothesis 9.** (H9) The entrepreneurial satisfaction of start-ups will positively affect startup business sustainability.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study examines the key attributes to promote the business sustainability of nascent venture and startup to convert the short survival rates into long-sustained startup firm, factors such as market orientation, technology orientation, and government support are taken as the causal variable to empirically verify the effect on start-up performance, entrepreneurial satisfaction, and business sustainability. Accordingly, this study surveyed Indian start-ups to verify the effects of government support, market orientation, and technological advancement on the sustainable development of entrepreneurship, and the startup performance and entrepreneurial satisfaction as mediators bring novelty in the field of sustainable entrepreneurship. The research model as shown in figure 1 is designed with the research hypotheses provided based on the literature review.




Figure 1. Research Model  
Source: Authors' compilation

**Variables and Analytical Approach**

Based on an extensive literature review on business, startups, and entrepreneurship, the research instrument for this study was developed. The measurement scale for entrepreneurial satisfaction was adopted from the literature for technological, government support, market orientation, financial and non-financial performance, satisfaction and motivation of entrepreneurship, and other relevant area presented in table 1.

Table 1. Variables and Operational Definition

Variables	Factor	Definition	Items	Reference
<b>Independent Variable</b>	Government Support (GS)	The support from the government has benefitted start-ups	3	(Joanne L. Scillitoes 2021) (Hazudin et al., 2015)
	Market Orientation (MOR)	The attitudes and strategies of satisfying customer need, competitiveness, and acquiring market knowledge for achieving customer satisfaction	3	(O' Scar 2007) (Mastorakis et al., 2020.)
	Technology Orientation (TOR)	The technology support availed by the start-ups for ease of their business activities	4	(Zahra and Covin, 1993.) (Deshpande et al 2013) (Urban & Heydenrych, 2015) (Bangbade et al., 2022)
<b>Parameter/ Mediator</b>	Startup Enterprise Performance (SEP)	The financial performance of the start-ups acquired by their operation	4	(Sivathanu & Pillai, 2020) (Rompho, 2018) (Zahra and Covin, 1993)
	Entrepreneurial Satisfaction (ES)	Satisfaction with business activities and acknowledgment of doing something owned by the entrepreneur regarding start-up activities.	7	(Seco Matos & Amaral, 2017; St-Jean & Mathieu, 2015)

<b>Dependent Variable</b>	Startup Sustainability (SBS)	Business	The possibility is that the business activities of start-ups are enough to sustain business economically, socially, and environmentally in the long run.	8	(Karani 2021) (Sarango-Lalangui et al., 2018a)
---------------------------	------------------------------	----------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------------------

Source: Literature

### Sampling and Data Collection

This research follows quantitative methodology with the use of primary data collected through the questionnaire, the data was collected from more than 400 startups operating in Madhya Pradesh, India. The sample was considered using the stratified random sampling technique and the key respondent of the questionnaire survey were owners/founders, partners, executives, and other key persons of the startups. The data was collected from the respondents through surveyed by visiting their work areas or offices and gathering all the details about technology and digitalization, government support through policies and programs for startups, startup perceived performance, market and market difficulties, satisfaction level through entrepreneurship and practice of business sustainability, questions was explained to the respondents and their confidentiality and annoying reassured. The sample size of 384 was calculated by using Cochran’s formula of indefinite population and a startup the total revenue for startups must be under Rs. 25 crores, situated in India as prescribed by DPIIT, GOI was used as the eligibility criteria for the selection of startup.

### Instrument/ Scale Used

All the variables were measured by using the 5 Likert scales. The independent variables were measured using the scale representing strongly disagree=1, Disagree=2, Neutral=3, Agree=4, and strongly agree=5. The startup firm’s performance was represented as extremely declined=1, Declined=2, Average=3, Improved=4, and extremely improved=5.

### Analysis of Reliability and Validity

A pilot study was conducted to inspect the validity and reliability of the questionnaire before collecting the final data. The reliability of the model was tested and the result has shown as .917 which should be more than the required value of 0.7 to get the reliability of the instrument.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the research model, the constructs of this study were analyzed by using exploratory analysis as the result is presented in Table 2.

The result of the standard loading value when scored 0.6 or more, can be used as a latent variable. All the constructs of this study scored more than 0.6 or more. The composite reliability of all the constructs should be close to or more than 0.7, as all constructs of this study score 0.9 or higher thus, meet the standard set by (Bhattacharya et al., 1998) and (J. J. Li & Zhou, 2010). The AVE should be 0.5 or more as the standard set by (Frare & Beuren, 2021), and all the constructs in this study scored AVE more than 0.8 (See Table 2). Thus, ensuring the validity of this study model.

Table 2. Results of reliability and convergent validity test

	Factor Loading	Cronbach’s Alpha	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
<b>ES</b>	0.898	0.947	0.948	0.96	0.826
	0.882				
	0.924				
	0.919				
	0.921				
<b>GS</b>	0.901	0.923	0.924	0.952	0.868
	0.958				
	0.935				
<b>MOR</b>	0.929	0.92	0.923	0.95	0.863
	0.947				
	0.911				
	0.901				
	0.898				
	0.917				
	0.935				
	0.909				
<b>SEP</b>	0.961	0.934	0.941	0.958	0.884
	0.957				
	0.901				
<b>TOR</b>	0.927	0.909	0.909	0.943	0.846
	0.912				
	0.92				

Source: Compiled from primary data using PLS-SEM

### Discriminant validity

The off-diagonal values (see Table 3) show the correlation between the latent constructs. The discriminant validity is verified by comparing the inter-correlations of the constructs with AVE, as displayed (see Table 3). As the shared variance values

were lower than the corresponding AVE, discriminant validity between the constructs in the study was proved as per (Ab Hamid et al., 2017) the required criteria.

Table 3. Correlation matrix and average variance extracted (AVE)

	ES	GS	MOR	SBS	SEP_	TOR_
ES	<b>0.909</b>					
GS	0.378	<b>0.932</b>				
MOR	0.372	0.558	<b>0.929</b>			
SBS	0.667	0.402	0.459	<b>0.912</b>		
SEP_	0.626	0.395	0.367	0.465	<b>0.94</b>	
TOR_	0.403	0.469	0.657	0.466	0.409	<b>0.92</b>

Note: The numbers in bold are AVE square root values of each variable.  
Source: Primary data

**Common Method Bias**

The single-factor Harman test (Podsakoff et al., 2003) was conducted to check the existence of common method bias. The result was 40.082% which is less than 50%, justifying that there is no concern of common method bias for this study.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents**

The survey results showed from the total of 384 respondents, 77.60 percent were male and 22.13 percent were female, in case of age concerned 12.8 percent of total less than 25 years, and 55.7 percent were aged between twenty-five to thirty-five, 24.9 percent were between thirty-five to forty-five, and only 6.8 percent were older than forty-five years, that show more start-up entrepreneurs are in the age of twenty-five to thirty-five years. In case business ideas of current start-ups showed that seminars and conferences are the most idea-genic source has 26.6 percent of ideas came from there, the next vital source of start-up ideas are found to be friends and relatives as 14.6 percent of ideas came from there, and 13.5 percent were from newspapers, and 37.5 percent is from other sources. (Table 4).

Table 4. Demographic profile of respondents

Category		Frequency	Percentage
Ages	Less than 25	49	12.8
	25 to 35 years	214	55.7
	35 to 45 years	95	24.7
	More than 45	26	6.8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Gender	Male	298	77.60
	Female	85	22.13
	<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Marital Status	Unmarried	136	35.4
	Married	225	58.6
	Divorced	23	6.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Business Idea	Newspaper	52	13.5
	Seminar/Conferences	102	26.6
	Bank/ Financial institutions	30	7.8
	Friends/ Relative	56	14.6
	Other	144	37.5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: Compiled from primary data.

**Measurement Model**

The SmartPLS 3.0 software was applied for primary data analysis as many businesses used it for analyzing measurement models (Hwa et al., 2017). The measurement properties in the final model was calculated for the latent constructs, reflective and formative in nature having multiple indicators. There are two methods for structural equation analysis; one is covariance-based and the other is partial least square based (Moqbel et al., 2020), and the study of (Sarstedt et al., 2020) (Carrión et al., 2017) suggested the use of PLS-SEM for mediation analysis.

**Model Fit**

The standards for the goodness-of-fit index (GFI) of the revised model were verified to check the fit of the model. The model is considered fit if the NFI will 0.9 or higher (Hwa et al., 2017). This model showed significant results, i.e., NFI = 0.91, SRMR = 0.037, as per the standard set by (Hair et al., 2011) (see Table 5).

Table 5. Model fit

	Saturated Model	Estimated Model
SRMR	0.037	0.073
d_ULS	0.341	1.332
d_G	0.442	0.465
Chi-Square	1026.155	1097.575
NFI	0.91	0.878

Source: Compiled from primary data by using PLS-SEM

Table 6 has shown the results of the hypotheses tested showed that GS (T value = 3.423,  $\beta$ = 0.240) and TOR (T value = 2.787,  $\beta$ =0.253) had a positive and significant effect on SEP, but MOR have an insignificant effect (T value= 0.274,  $\beta$ = 0.067) on SEP. Thus, we fail to accept hypothesis two, but fail to reject hypotheses one & three.

For the hypothesis four, the significant impact of GS on ES has been identified, with findings (T value = 3.448). Similarly, for the hypothesis five the impact of TOR on ES is positively significant (T value = 2.582), but hypothesis six was rejected due to the insignificant effect of MOR on ES.

Moreover, the hypothesis seven was accepted as the result revealed a significant and positive impact of SEP on ES (T value = 9.744,  $\beta$ = 0.526).

Finally, both the hypotheses eight and nine were accepted with a significant impact of SEP (T value = 4.462,  $\beta$ =0.334) and ES high impact (T value = 10.606,  $\beta$ = 0.618) SBS. Further, table 6 also, shows MOR does not find a significant effect on SEP as well as ES, whereas GS had a greater effect on ES, and ES has a greater positively significant effect on SBS than SEP (see Table 6).

Table 6. Results of the hypothesis test

Hypothesis Channel	Path Coefficient	T value	Decision	R <sup>2</sup>
Hypothesis 1 (GS - SEP)	0.240	3.423	Accepted	0.223
Hypothesis 2 (MOR - SEP)	0.067	0.274	Rejected	
Hypothesis 3 (TOR - SEP)	0.253	2.787	Accepted	
Hypothesis 4 (GS- ES)	0.375	3.448	Accepted	0.427
Hypothesis 5 (MOR -ES)	0.061	0.548	Rejected	
Hypothesis 6 (TOR- ES)	0.215	2.582	Accepted	
Hypothesis 7 (SEP - ES)	0.526	9.744	Accepted	
Hypothesis 8 (SEP - SBS)	0.334	4.462	Accepted	0.449
Hypothesis 9 (ES - SBS)	0.618	10.606	Accepted	

Notes: t-values for two-tailed test: \*\*\*t-values 2.58 (sig. level = 1%), (Sivathanu & Pillai, 2020)




Figure 3. SEM (structural equation model) analysis of the research model

Source: Compiled from primary data



### Mediation Effect

We are concerned with evaluating whether the effects of the causal variables; GS, MOR, and TOR on SBS are mediated by ES and SEP. To examine the mediation effect of the ES and SEP through these pathways we used the SmartPLS algorithm. The result (see Table 7) revealed that the total effect of GS ( $\beta = 0.150$ ) and TOR ( $\beta = 0.169$ ) on SBS was significant with the inclusion of mediating variables of SEP and ES, whereas, MOR has insignificant relation on SBS ( $\beta = 0.037$ ,  $T = 0.515$ ) in presence of SEP and ES.

The indirect effect of GS on SBS was significant ( $\beta = 0.053$ ,  $T = 3.185$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ) in presence of mediator ES. Additionally, GS also has a significant impact on SBS in presence of mediator SEP ( $\beta = 0.019$ ,  $T = 3.185$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ). Further, the indirect effect of TOR on SBS was identified through ES as a mediator between them ( $\beta = 0.067$ ,  $T = 2.884$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ) it is more significant than the indirect effect of TOR on SBS through SEP ( $\beta = 0.020$ ,  $T = 2.884$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ). However, no significant indirect impact of MOR is found on SBS through both the mediators; ES and SEP.

Table 7 also indicates, the impact of SEP on SBS through ES being a mediator, the result was significant with direct effect ( $\beta = 0.334$ ), indirect effect ( $\beta = 0.325$ ), and total effect ( $\beta = 0.659$ ). Moreover, the impact of ES on SBS was the significant direct and total effect ( $\beta = 0.618$ ), and the null indirect effect was assessed.

Table 7. Results of Direct, Indirect, and Total effects

Dependent variable	Independent variables/ Mediator	Direct Effect	Indirect Effect	Total Effect	T- value
<b>Startup Business Sustainability</b>	GS	-	<b>0.019</b>	GS->SEP->SBS	<b>0.150</b>
			<b>0.053</b>	GS -> ES -> SBS	
	MOR	-	<b>0.005</b>	MOR->SEP->SBS	<b>0.064</b>
			<b>0.037</b>	MOR->ES->SBS	
	TOR	-	<b>0.020</b>	TOR->SEP->SBS	<b>0.169</b>
			<b>0.067</b>	TOR->ES->SBS	
	SEP	0.334	<b>0.325</b>	SEP->ES->SBS	<b>0.659</b>
ES		0.618		<b>0.618</b>	<b>10.606</b>

Source: Primary data collected through questionnaires analyzed using PLS-SEM

Note: GS= Government support, MOR= Market orientation, TOR= Technology orientation, SEP= Start-up enterprise performance, ES= Entrepreneurial satisfaction, SBS= Start-up business sustainability

### DISCUSSIONS

This study is conducted to empirically determine the factors affecting business sustainability and the survival rate of start-ups, as recognized since global government support in an attempt to secure new growth engines and create jobs. The results of the data analysis showed that start-ups based on GS, and TOR had a positive effect on business sustainability through mediator's startup enterprise performance (SEP) and entrepreneurial satisfaction (ES). The findings show that the government assistant program (GS) has a significant association with the performance of the start-up and were validated by the study of (Musa et al., 2017) which suggested a significant government role in the performance of nascent ventures. The findings of the present study indicate that technology orientation (TOR) has a significant impact on the performance of startups, with a similar result to the findings of (Holgersson & Granstrand, 2021) revealing the importance of technology such as patenting, digital innovations, R&D has a significant positive impact on the performance including cost reductions, productivity, sales growth. Ultimately, factors such as innovativeness, digitalization, and information symmetry of entrepreneurs had a positive impact on the performance of the start-ups as well as business sustainability.

The previous empirical investigation of (Gibson et al., 2014; Gupta & Muiita, 2012) is also revealed similar results that there is a positive significance in enterprise performance on the entrepreneurial satisfaction of startups. The development of technology significantly impacts on the satisfaction of entrepreneurs in nascent ventures this result is similar to the previous literature (Lee & Kim, 2019). Additionally, the government support has been identified as a significant factor in this study to foster business satisfaction among entrepreneurs of the start-up, this result is consistent with (Reddick & Roy, 2013; Sharma et al., 2021) that show government support including development programs, websites, and e-portal, etc. are boost the satisfaction of the startuppreneurs.

Caseiro and Coelho (2018) stated that GS has unique competitiveness in start-ups that are difficult to imitate, thus entrepreneurship can serve as a critical factor in terms of mid/long-term growth and sustainable development for start-ups. On the other hand, market orientation positively impacted business sustainability with startup enterprise performance as the mediator, but not on entrepreneurial satisfaction.

Previous studies on startups stated that start-up sustainability is affected by variables such as the entrepreneur's satisfaction and the start-up ecosystem consisting of government and society and there is a positive association between satisfaction and sustainability in entrepreneurship of new ventures (Lee & Kim, 2019; Ziakis et al., 2022).

The role of the performance of the startups is found significant to the sustainability of the business which can be validated by the study of (Deslatte et al., 2021; Lee & Kim, 2019). This shows that when the performance is a key factor of

start-ups that connects with sustainable practices, it means if startup performed well, it motivates the entrepreneurs to implement sustainable business practices.

It is observed from the study that market orientation is insignificant in obtaining satisfactory performance and satisfaction among startuppreneurs, this finding is similar to the study of (Lee & Kim, 2019), A company must maintain its market orientation in the dynamic market environment for sustainable growth (Scillitoe & Birasnav, 2022). Accordingly, start-ups should encompass the marketing environment to analyze customer values and to adapt good market fit for ultimate sustainability (Ruzgar et al., 2014). Moreover, MOR positively impacted on business sustainability with entrepreneurial satisfaction as the mediator, which is contrary to (González-Benito et al., 2009; Huang, 2016).

The present study is also identified that performance has a significant impact on sustainability through mediator satisfaction, this comprehensively indicates that performance brings satisfaction among entrepreneurs which motivates them to sustainable business practices (Deslatte et al., 2021).

The study shows that business leads to entrepreneurial satisfaction and performance with aid of government, and technology with sustainability. Moreover, as mentioned, government and technological support are useful to perceive opportunities that will accelerate entrepreneurial success and sustainable business growth.

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results, this study can provide inferences to set the direction for sustainable growth and development of start-ups. First, as indicated by the results, startup performance is a key variable for the business sustainability of start-ups. Previous studies based on performance confirmed that it is only possible when it is supported by an entrepreneurial ecosystem. Furthermore, startup performance promotes the more explorative activities of start-ups and improves their capacity to implement sustainable ideas. Thus, entrepreneurs must immerse themselves in innovative ideas and sustain events to develop their capabilities. Accordingly, entrepreneurs can be satisfied in the early stages of a business when they focus on both financial and non-financial performance and entrepreneurial satisfaction. Moreover, the government support programs must be intended for safeguarding various challenges and obstacles of startups, and further technology should encompass entrepreneurship programs for start-ups to support at early-stage.

Besides, start-ups have different environments and ecosystems depending on the business field, which is why more detailed research must be conducted when considering types of business such as manufacturing, IT, or service. Startups in the area of automobile and IT and fashion industries should adopt sustainable marketing strategies to enhance customer satisfaction (Ejovwokeoghene OGBARI et al., 2022; Jung et al., 2020). Correspondingly, the government should collaborate with startups (specifically with cleantech and energy) to increase the financial benefits of the startups. Technology advancement should be encompassed to reduce the information asymmetry, that not only benefits startups but all the participants of the industry (Kim et al., 2020).

## Limitations and Further Scope area

The study has found a link between government, technology, and market support, along with the mediation effect of entrepreneurial satisfaction and startup performance on startup sustainability. It has many limitations that create opportunities for future research such as the survey of this study has geographic limitations as samples are collected from the startup of Madhya Pradesh, India, to generalize the results. In Addition to limitations, the data for the present study were collected in a one-time frame, longitudinal studies can be incorporated in the future. It is a quantitative analysis further studies may incorporate a qualitative method for a better understanding of entrepreneurial behaviours on sustainability.

## Implications

This research is a theoretical background of sustainability in start-ups in the context of India to provide inclusive factors required for sustainability building in startups the proposed theoretical model validate empirically.

This study serves academicians by contributing to the entrepreneurship domain by providing a research framework for business sustainability. This study contributes managerial implications to suggest strategic planning a scaling of the startup for sustainable growth, sustainability in business is not simple to attain and retain, and this research contributes to startup entrepreneurs developing healthy customer relations and better implementation of the start-up ecosystem for sustainable growth of the firm.

From the perspective of government implications, this research suggests that the government should provide more training to entrepreneurs for sustainable practices, and there must be more involvement of the government in increasing the performance of start-up companies. And it will prove better if the government encourages marketing training and platforms, especially for start-ups.

**Author Contribution:** Conceptualization, S.S., N. and S.V.; Methodology, S.S.; Software, S.S; Validation, S.S., N. and S.V.; Formal Analysis, S.S., N. and S.V.; Investigation, S.S., N. and S.V.; Resources, S.S., N. and S.V; Data Curation, S.S.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, S.S., N. and S.V.; Writing – Review & Editing, S.S., N. and S.V.; Visualization S.S., N. and S.V.; Supervision, S.V.; Project Administration, S.S. and N.; Funding Acquisition, S.S., N. and S.V. Authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to that the research does not deal with vulnerable groups or sensitive issues.

**Funding:** Jawaharlal Nehru Memorial Fund, New Delhi for this research.

**Acknowledgments:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to restrictions.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Ab Hamid, M. R., Sami, W., & Mohamad Sidek, M. H. (2017). Discriminant Validity Assessment: Use of Fornell & Larcker criterion versus HTMT Criterion. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 890(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/890/1/012163>
- Aguinis, H., Edwards, J. R., & Bradley, K. J. (2017). Improving Our Understanding of Moderation and Mediation in Strategic Management Research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 20(4), 665–685. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428115627498>
- Al-Slehat, Z. A. F. (2020). FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AS MEDIATOR ON THE IMPACT OF INVESTMENT AND FINANCIAL DECISIONS ON STOCK PRICE AND FUTURE PROFIT: THE CASE OF THE JORDANIAN FINANCIAL SECTOR. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 10(2), 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.9520>
- Author, C., Purnomosidi, L., Siregar, H., & Dzulkriom, M. (2014). Mediating Effect of Financial Performance on the Influence of Size of Company, Capital Structure, Good Corporate Governance and Macro Fundamentals on Corporate Value. In *Quest Journals Journal of Research in Business and Management*, 2(10).
- Bamgbade, J. A., Nawi, M. N. M., Kamaruddeen, A. M., Adeleke, A. Q., & Salimon, M. G. (2022). Building sustainability in the construction industry through firm capabilities, technology and business innovativeness: empirical evidence from Malaysia. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 22(3), 473–488. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2019.1634666>
- Basu, A., & Virick, M. (2015). Silicon Valley's Indian diaspora: networking and entrepreneurial success. *South Asian Journal of Global Business Research*, 4(2), 190–208. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sajgbr-04-2014-0021>
- Behl, A. (2022). Antecedents to firm performance and competitiveness using the lens of big data analytics: a cross-cultural study. *Management Decision*, 60(2), 368–398. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2020-0121>
- Bhattacharya, R., Devinney, T. M., & Pillutla, M. M. (1998). A formal model of trust based on outcomes. *Academy of management review*, 23(3), 459-472.
- Blackburn, R., & Kovalainen, A. (2009). Researching small firms and entrepreneurship: Past, present and future. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 11(2), 127–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2008.00254.x>
- Brush, C. G. (1992). *Research on Women Business Owners: Past Trends, a New Perspective and Future Directions*.
- Carrión, G. C., Nitzl, C., & Roldán, J. L. (2017). Mediation analyses in partial least squares structural equation modeling: Guidelines and empirical examples. In *Partial Least Squares Path Modeling: Basic Concepts, Methodological Issues and Applications* (pp. 173–195). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64069-3\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64069-3_8)
- Caseiro, N., & Coelho, A. (2018). Business intelligence and competitiveness: the mediating role of entrepreneurial orientation. *Competitiveness Review*, 28(2), 213–226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CR-09-2016-0054>
- Chakraborty, T., Ganguly, M., & Natarajan, A. (2019). Predicting entrepreneurial satisfaction: The role of non-financial incentive factors and quality of life among women digital entrepreneurs. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 12(3), 328–355. <https://doi.org/10.1504/JGBA.2019.101388>
- Chen, C. H. (2022). The mediating effect of corporate culture on the relationship between business model innovation and corporate social responsibility: A perspective from small- and medium-sized enterprises. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 27(4), 312–319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APMRV.2022.01.001>
- Cooper, A. C., & Artz, K. W. (1995). DETERMINANTS OF SATISFACTION FOR ENTREPRENEURS. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 10, 439–457.
- Costa, M. A. S., Guerino, G. C., Leal, G. C. L., Balancieri, R., & Galdamez, E. V. C. (2022). Exploring performance measurement practices in Brazilian startups. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 33(5–6), 637–663. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2021.1884063>
- D'Amato, D., Veijonaho, S., & Toppinen, A. (2020). Towards sustainability? Forest-based circular bioeconomy business models in Finnish SMEs. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 110, 101848. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORPOL.2018.12.004>
- Demming, C. L., Jahn, S., & Boztug, Y. (2017). Conducting Mediation Analysis in Marketing Research. *Marketing ZFP*, 39(3), 76–98. <https://doi.org/10.15358/0344-1369-2017-3-76>
- Deslatte, A., Swann, W. L., & Feiock, R. C. (2021). Performance, Satisfaction, or Loss Aversion? A Meso-Micro Assessment of Local Commitments to Sustainability Programs. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 31(1), 201–217. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/maa021>
- Eisenmann, T. (2020). *Determinants of Early-Stage Startup Performance: Survey Results*.
- Ejovwokeoghene OGBARI, M., Uzoma K. CHIMA, G., Bosede AMAIHIAN, A., & Ayodele, M. (2022). Entrepreneurial Pro-Activeness and Business Sustainability: Implications on Customers' Satisfaction. *IIARD INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT*, 8(2), 39–47. <https://doi.org/10.56201/ijebm.v8.no2.2022.pg39.47>
- Eric, A.-B., Kofi, & Ghorman, O. (n.d.). *EXPLORING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MARKET ORIENTATION AND PERFORMANCE IN START-UPS COMPANIES IN GHANA*.

- Eriksson Muyu Li Supervisor, J., le Duc Examiner, M., Liljefors Västerås, O., Eriksson, J., & Li, M. (2012). *School of Sustainable Development of Society and Technology International Marketing (MIMA) Success factors of entrepreneurial small and medium sized enterprises in the Gnosjö municipality Title: Success factors of entrepreneurial small and medium sized enterprises in Gnosjö municipality*.
- Ferreras-Méndez, J. L., Olmos-Peñuela, J., Salas-Vallina, A., & Alegre, J. (2021). Entrepreneurial orientation and new product development performance in SMEs: The mediating role of business model innovation. *Technovation*, 108, 102325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHNOVATION.2021.102325>
- Fiedler, K., Schott, M., & Meiser, T. (2011). What mediation analysis can (not) do. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 47(6), 1231–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JESP.2011.05.007>
- Filser, M., Kraus, S., Roig-Tierno, N., Kailer, N., & Fischer, U. (2019). Entrepreneurship as catalyst for sustainable development: Opening the black box. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(16). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164503>
- Fonseca, L. M., Domingues, J. P., Pereira, M. T., Martins, F. F., & Zimon, D. (2018). Assessment of circular economy within Portuguese organizations. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10072521>
- Frare, A. B., & Beuren, I. M. (2021). The role of green process innovation translating green entrepreneurial orientation and proactive sustainability strategy into environmental performance. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-10-2021-0402>
- Furtado, L., Dutra, M., & Macedo, D. (2017). Value Creation in Big Data Scenarios: A Literature Survey. *Journal of Industrial Integration and Management*, 02(01), 1750002. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s2424862217500026>
- García-Gutiérrez, I., & Martínez-Borreguero, F. J. (2016). The innovation pivot framework: Fostering business model innovation in startups. *Research Technology Management*, 59(5), 48–56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08956308.2016.1208043>
- Garg, P., Gupta, B., Dzever, S., Sivarajah, U., & Kumar, V. (2020). Examining the Relationship between Social Media Analytics Practices and Business Performance in the Indian Retail and IT Industries: The Mediation Role of Customer Engagement. *International Journal of Information Management*, 52, 102069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJINFOMGT.2020.102069>
- Ghezzi, A. (2019). Digital startups and the adoption and implementation of Lean Startup Approaches: Effectuation, Bricolage and Opportunity Creation in practice. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 146, 945–960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.09.017>
- Gibson, S. G., McDowell, W. C., & Harris, M. L. (2014). Small business owner satisfaction with financial performance: A longitudinal study. *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 17(1), 15–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NEJE-17-01-2014-B002>
- Gide, E., & Wu, M. X. (2007). A study for establishing E-commerce Business Satisfaction model to measure e-commerce success in SMEs. *International Journal of Electronic Customer Relationship Management*, 1(3), 307–325. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJECRM.2007.017799>
- González-Benito, Ó., González-Benito, J., & Muñoz-Gallego, P. A. (2009). Role of entrepreneurship and market orientation in firms' success. *European Journal of Marketing*, 43(3–4), 500–522. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560910935550>
- Gupta, A., & Muita, S. R. (2012). Relationship between Entrepreneurial Personality, Performance, Job Satisfaction and Operations Strategy: An Empirical Examination. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v8n2p86>
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202>
- Harlin, U., & Berglund, M. (2021). Designing for sustainable work during industrial startups—the case of a high-growth entrepreneurial firm. *Small Business Economics*, 57(2), 807–819. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00383-3>
- Haryono, U., & Paminto, A. (n.d.). *Corporate Governance and Firm Value: The Mediating Effect of Financial Performance and Firm Risk*.
- Hazudin, S. F., Kader, M. A. R. A., Tarmuji, N. H., Ishak, M., & Ali, R. (2015). Discovering Small Business Start up Motives, Success Factors and Barriers: A Gender Analysis. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 31, 436–443. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(15\)01218-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)01218-6)
- Hmieleski, K. M., & Corbett, A. C. (2008). The contrasting interaction effects of improvisational behavior with entrepreneurial self-efficacy on new venture performance and entrepreneur work satisfaction. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 23(4), 482–496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSVENT.2007.04.002>
- Holgersson, M., & Granstrand, O. (2021). Value capture in open innovation markets: the role of patent rights for innovation appropriation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 25(6), 320–339. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-02-2021-0114>
- Hoque, Z. (2005). Linking environmental uncertainty to non-financial performance measures and performance: a research note. *The British Accounting Review*, 37(4), 471–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BAR.2005.08.003>
- Huang, H. C. (2016). Entrepreneurial resources and speed of entrepreneurial success in an emerging market: the moderating effect of entrepreneurship. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 12(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-014-0321-8>
- Hwa, C. J., Chuah, F., & Ting, H. (2017). *PLS-SEM using SmartPLS 3.0: Chapter 13: Assessment of Moderation Analysis*. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341357609>

- Inmyxai, S., & Takahashi, Y. (2011). Determining the applicability of feminist theories by examining the mediation and moderation effects on non-economic performance in Lao micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 26(7), 457–482. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17542411111175450>
- Iskamto, D., & Ghazali, P. L. (2021). Framework of Mediating Role of MSEs Performance On The Relationship Between Entrepreneur Environment and Entrepreneur Satisfaction. *The Journal of Management Theory and Practice (JMTP)*, 71–73. <https://doi.org/10.37231/jmtp.2021.2.2.118>
- Ismail, I. J. (2022). Speaking to the hearts of the customers! The mediating effect of customer loyalty on customer orientation, technology orientation and business performance. *Technological Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/techs-03-2022-0016>
- Jung, J., Kim, S. J., & Kim, K. H. (2020). Sustainable marketing activities of traditional fashion market and brand loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 120, 294–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2020.04.019>
- Kerr, G. (2017). The motivations, business satisfaction and commitment of career and later-life older entrepreneurs. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship*, 29(2), 140–155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2017.1288528>
- Kim, H. J., Kim, T. S., & Sohn, S. Y. (2020). Recommendation of startups as technology cooperation candidates from the perspectives of similarity and potential: A deep learning approach. *Decision Support Systems*, 130, 113229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DSS.2019.113229>
- Kohli, A. K., & Jaworski, B. J. (n.d.). *Market Orientation: The Construct, Research Propositions, and Managerial Implications*.
- Kountur, R., Phangestu, J., & Prameswari, D. A. (2020). The structural model of startup: The moderating effect of enterprise leadership and networking. *Management Science Letters*, 10(15), 3537–3544. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.6.041>
- Lauto, G., Pittino, D., & Visintin, F. (2020). Satisfaction of entrepreneurs: A comparison between founders and family business successors. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 58(3), 474–510. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2019.1660937>
- Lee, W., & Kim, B. (2019). Business sustainability of start-ups based on government support: An empirical study of Korean start-ups. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11184851>
- Lee, W. S., & Kim, B. Y. (2019). The effects of career orientations on entrepreneurial satisfaction and business sustainability. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 6(4), 235–248. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2019.vol6.no4.235>
- Li, J. J., & Zhou, K. Z. (2010). How foreign firms achieve competitive advantage in the Chinese emerging economy : Managerial ties and market orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(8), 856–862.
- Li, L., Chen, J., Gao, H., & Xie, L. (2019). The certification effect of government R&D subsidies on innovative entrepreneurial firms' access to bank finance: evidence from China. *Small Business Economics*, 52(1), 241–259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-018-0024-6>
- Lizarelli, F. L., Torres, A. F., Antony, J., Ribeiro, R., Salentijn, W., Fernandes, M. M., & Campos, A. T. (2022). Critical success factors and challenges for Lean Startup: a systematic literature review. *TQM Journal*, 34(3), 534–551. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-06-2021-0177>
- MacKinnon, D. P. (2001). Mediating Variable. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (pp. 9503–9507). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b0-08-043076-7/00732-4>
- MacKinnon, D. P., Fairchild, A. J., & Fritz, M. S. (2007). Mediation analysis. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 593–614. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085542>
- Mastorakis, N. E., WSEAS (Organization), North Atlantic University Union., International Conference on Finance, A. and L. (5th : 2014 : I., & International Conference on Design and Product Development (5th : 2014 : Istanbul, T. (n.d.). *Recent advances in financial planning and product development : proceedings of the 5th international conference on finance, accounting and law (ICFA '14), proceedings of the 5th international conference on design and product development (ICDPD '14) ; Istanbul, Turkey, December 15-17, 2014*.
- Moqbel, M., Guduru, R., Grande Valley, R., & Harun, A. (2020). *Testing mediation via indirect effects in PLS-SEM: A social Testing mediation via indirect effects in PLS-SEM: A social networking site illustration networking site illustration*. Retrieved from [https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/is\\_fac](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/is_fac)
- Murphy, G. B., & Callaway, S. K. (2004). Doing well and happy about it? Explaining variance in entrepreneurs' stated satisfaction with performance. *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 7(2), 15–26.
- Musa, R., Hashim, N., Rashid, U. K., & Naseruddin, J. (2017). *Accelerating Startups: The Role of Government Assistance Programs and Entrepreneurial Orientation*. 14. Retrieved from <http://www.serialsjournals.com>
- Namazi, M., & Namazi, N.-R. (2016). Conceptual Analysis of Moderator and Mediator Variables in Business Research. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 36, 540–554. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(16\)30064-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)30064-8)
- Negi, M. (2021, March 1). *Why Do 90% Of Indian Startups Fail Within The First Five Years? By Mahima Negi*.
- Palmié, M., Boehm, J., Friedrich, J., Parida, V., Wincent, J., Kahlert, J., Gassmann, O., & Sjödin, D. (2021). Startups versus incumbents in 'green' industry transformations: A comparative study of business model archetypes in the electrical power sector. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 96, 35–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2021.04.003>
- Passaro, R., Quinto, I., Rippa, P., & Thomas, A. (2020). Evolution of collaborative networks supporting startup sustainability: Evidences from digital firms. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(22), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229437>

- Pelham, A. M. (1999). *Influence of Environment, Strategy, and Market Orientation on Performance in Small Manufacturing Firms*.
- Polo García-Ochoa, C., De-Pablos-Heredero, C., & Blanco Jiménez, F. J. (2020). How business accelerators impact startup's performance: Empirical insights from the dynamic capabilities approach. *Intangible Capital*, 16(3), 107–125. <https://doi.org/10.3926/IC.1669>
- Reddick, C. G., & Roy, J. (2013). Business perceptions and satisfaction with e-government: Findings from a Canadian survey. *Government Information Quarterly*, 30(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GIQ.2012.06.009>
- Reynolds, O., Sheehan, M., & Hilliard, R. (2018). Exploring strategic agency in sustainability-oriented entrepreneur legitimation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research*, 24(2), 429–450. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEER-03-2016-0100>
- Rezaee, Z. (2016). Business sustainability research: A theoretical and integrated perspective. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 36, 48–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acclit.2016.05.003>
- Rompho, N. (2018). Operational performance measures for startups. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 22(1), 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MBE-06-2017-0028>
- Ruzgar, N. S., Ruzgar, B., & Kocak, A. (2014). *The Mediating Effect of Market Orientation on the Relationship between Entrepreneurial Orientation and Performance*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2039.0724>
- Sala, P. K., Philbin, S. P., & Barikzai, S. (2022). A qualitative research study of the tech startup journey through entrepreneurial pivoting. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research*, 28(4), 1050–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEER-07-2021-0528>
- Salamzadeh, A., & Kawamorita Kesim, H. (2017). The enterprising communities and startup ecosystem in Iran. *Journal of Enterprising Communities*, 11(4), 456–479. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEC-07-2015-0036>
- Sarango-Lalangui, P., Álvarez-García, J., & del Río-Rama, M. de la C. (2018). Sustainable practices in small and medium-sized enterprises in Ecuador. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10062105>
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Nitzl, C., Ringle, C. M., & Howard, M. C. (2020). Beyond a tandem analysis of SEM and PROCESS: Use of PLS-SEM for mediation analyses! *International Journal of Market Research*, 62(3), 288–299. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785320915686>
- Scillitoe, J. L., & Birasnav, M. (2022). Ease of market entry of Indian startups: formal and informal institutional influences. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 11(2), 195–215. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-07-2019-0131>
- Seco Matos, C., & Amaral, M. (2017). *Entrepreneurial Satisfaction among Senior Entrepreneurs: the moderating effect of industry experience and unemployment status*.
- Seo, S. Y., Kim, S. D., & Lee, M. S. (2018). The effects of knowledge assets on the performances of startup firms: Moderating effects of promotion focus. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 5(4), 187–199. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2018.vol5.no4.187>
- Sha, Z. (2022). Total natural resources, oil prices, and sustainable economic performance: Evidence from global data. *Resources Policy*, 79, 103046. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESOURPOL.2022.103046>
- Sharma, R., Mishra, R., & Mishra, A. (2021). Determinants of satisfaction among social entrepreneurs in e-Government services. *International Journal of Information Management*, 60, 102386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJINFOMGT.2021.102386>
- Shi, L., Han, L., Yang, F., & Gao, L. (2019). The Evolution of Sustainable Development Theory: Types, Goals, and Research Prospects. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11247158>
- Silva, L. S. C. V. da, Kaczam, F., Dantas, A. de B., & Janguia, J. M. (2021). Startups: a systematic review of literature and future research directions. *Revista de Ciências Da Administração*, 23(60), 118–133. <https://doi.org/10.5007/2175-8077.2021.e80666>
- Singh, S., Chauhan, A., & Dhir, S. (2020). Analyzing the startup ecosystem of India: a Twitter analytics perspective. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 17(2), 262–281. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-08-2019-0164>
- Sivathanu, B., & Pillai, R. (2020). An empirical study on entrepreneurial bricolage behavior for sustainable enterprise performance of startups: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 12(1), 34–57. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-01-2019-0009>
- Slávik, Š., Bednár, R., & Mišúňová Hudáková, I. (2021). The structure of the start-up business model—qualitative analysis. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158386>
- Soeryo Prayogo, M., Suhud, U., & Wahyu Handaru, A. (2019). Assessing Startup Performance: “Case Study at National Business Incubator.” *International Journal on Advanced Science, Education, and Religion*, 2(3), 38–51. <https://doi.org/10.33648/ijoaser.v2i3.40>
- St-Jean, É., & Mathieu, C. (2015). Developing Attitudes Toward an Entrepreneurial Career Through Mentoring: The Mediating Role of Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy. *Journal of Career Development*, 42(4), 325–338. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845314568190>
- Tur-Porcar, A., Roig-Tierno, N., & Mestre, A. L. (2018). *Factors Affecting Entrepreneurship and Business Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020452>
- Urban, B., & Heydenrych, J. (2015). Technology orientation and effectuation – links to firm performance in the renewable energy sector of South Africa. *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 26(3), 125–136. <https://doi.org/10.7166/26-3-1039>
- Wadhwa, V., Aggarwal, R., Holly, K., & Salkever, A. (2011). Making of A Successful Entrepreneur: Anatomy of an Entrepreneur Part II. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1507384>

- Wahba, H., & Elsayed, K. (2015). The mediating effect of financial performance on the relationship between social responsibility and ownership structure. *Future Business Journal*, 1(1–2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbj.2015.02.001>
- Waring, T. M., Kline, M. A., Brooks, J. S., Goff, S. H., Gowdy, J., Janssen, M. A., Smaldino, P. E., & Jacquet, J. (2015). A multilevel evolutionary framework for sustainability analysis. *Ecology and Society*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-07634-200234>
- Weidinger, C., Fischler, F., & Schmidpeter, R. (2014). *Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Business Success through Sustainability* (S. O. Idowu & R. Schmidpeter, Eds.). Springer Heidelberg.
- Zahra and Covin 1993. (n.d.).
- Zhao, X., Yi, C., Zhan, Y., & Guo, M. (2022). Business environment distance and innovation performance of EMNEs: The mediating effect of R&D internationalization. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(4), 100241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIK.2022.100241>
- Ziakis, C., Vlachopoulou, M., & Petridis, K. (2022). Start-Up Ecosystem (StUpEco): A Conceptual Framework and Empirical Research. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8010035>

**Publisher's Note:** CRIBFB stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2023 by the authors. Licensee CRIBFB, USA. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

*Indian Journal of Finance and Banking* (P-ISSN 2574-6081 E-ISSN 2574-609X) by CRIBFB is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.